KANI JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES (KJSS)

PUBLICATION ETHICS STATEMENT

1. GENERAL PRINCIPLES & COMMITMENT

The *Kani Journal of Social Sciences (KJSS)* is dedicated to maintaining the highest standards of publication ethics and academic integrity. The journal strictly adheres to international principles of scholarly publishing, including guidelines set forth by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE). Ethical standards apply equally to all parties involved in the publishing process: Authors, Peer Reviewers, Academic Editors, and the Editorial Board.

2. DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF AUTHORS

- **Originality and Authenticity:** Authors must ensure that their work is entirely original. Any ideas, data, text, or figures derived from other sources must be properly cited and referenced.
- **Plagiarism and Misconduct:** Plagiarism in any form—including text recycling (self-plagiarism), verbatim copying, paraphrasing without attribution, or data fabrication/falsification—is strictly prohibited and constitutes grounds for immediate rejection.
- **Redundant or Concurrent Submissions:** Authors must not submit the same manuscript (or substantially similar work) to more than one journal concurrently.
- **Authorship Attribution:** Authorship must be limited to individuals who made significant intellectual contributions to the study's conception, design, execution, or interpretation. All co-authors must approve the final version and agree to its submission.
- **Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest:** Authors must explicitly declare any financial, personal, or institutional conflicts of interest that could be perceived as influencing their research.
- **Errors in Published Works:** If an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in their published work, they are obligated to notify the Editorial Office immediately to issue an erratum, corrigendum, or retraction.

3. DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF PEER REVIEWERS

- **Objectivity and Rigor:** Reviewers must conduct evaluations objectively, providing constructive, unbiased feedback supported by clear arguments and scientific reasoning.
- **Confidentiality:** All submitted manuscripts received for review must be treated as strictly confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with third parties without prior authorization from the Editorial Office.
- **Timeliness:** Reviewers who feel unqualified to evaluate a manuscript or know that they cannot meet the review deadline must notify the Editorial Office promptly to allow alternative reviewer assignments.

- **Conflict of Interest:** Reviewers must decline to evaluate any manuscript in which they have financial, personal, competitive, or collaborative conflicts of interest with any of the authors or institutions involved.

4. DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF EDITORS

- **Editorial Independence and Impartiality:** Editors evaluate manuscripts solely on their intellectual and scientific merit within the approved disciplines of KJSS (Law, Administration, Human Resources, Accounting, Economics, Media & Marketing, and Education), without regard to the authors' race, gender, nationality, institutional affiliation, or political belief.
- **Double-Blind Peer Review Oversight:** Editors must maintain absolute confidentiality regarding the identities of authors and reviewers throughout the evaluation process.
- **Publication Decisions:** The Editor-in-Chief and Editorial Board maintain ultimate responsibility for deciding which submitted articles will be published, guided by peer review reports, academic quality, and legal requirements regarding libel, copyright, and plagiarism.
- **Handling Ethical Allegations:** Editors will act promptly and investigate thoroughly whenever allegations of ethical misconduct (pre- or post-publication) arise, following established COPE flowcharts and institutional guidelines.